1	Gary M. Hoffman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Kenneth W. Brothers(<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)				
2	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN				
3	& OSHINSKY, LLP 2101 L Street, NW				
4	Washington, DC 20037-1526 Phone (202) 785-9700				
5	Fax (202) 887-0689				
6	Edward A. Meilman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN				
7	& OSHINSKY, LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas				
8	New York, New York 10036-2714 Phone (212) 835-1400				
9	Fax (212) 997-9880				
10	Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715 Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, RUBIN & DEMAIN 177 Post Street, Suite 300				
11					
12	San Francisco, California 94108 Phone (415) 421-7151				
13	Fax (415) 362-8064				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
15 16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
17	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,)			
18	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. CV 03-4669 MJJ (EMC)			
19	vs.)) MANUAL FILING NOTIFICATION			
20	AEROFLEX ET AL,) MANUAL FILING NOTIFICATION			
21	Defendants.				
22)			
23)			
24					
25					
26					
27					

1	1 Regarding: RICOH'S NOTICE OF MOT	ION AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR		
2	DEFENDANTS' VIOLATION OF JUDGE JENKINS' CMC ORDER REGARDING			
3	IDENTIFICATION OF PRODUCTS AT ISSUE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND			
4	4 AUTHORITIES			
5	This filing is in paper or physical form on	ly, and is being maintained in the case file in the		
6	Clerk's office. If you are a participant in this case, this filing will be served in hard-copy shortly. For			
7	information on retrieving this filing directly from the court, please see the court's main web site at			
8	8 http://www.cand.uscourts.gov under Frequently A	http://www.cand.uscourts.gov under Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ).		
9	This filing was not e-filed for the following reason(s):			
10	[_] Voluminous Document (PDF file size larger than the e-filing system allows)			
11	[_] Unable to Scan Documents			
12	[_] Physical Object (description):			
13				
14	[_] Non-Graphic/Text Computer File (audio, video, etc.) on CD or other media			
15	[X] Item Under Seal			
16	[_] Conformance with the Judicial Conference Pr	[_] Conformance with the Judicial Conference Privacy Policy (General Order 53).		
17	[_] Other (description):			
18	Dated: February 21, 2006 Resp	pectfully submitted,		
19		NNETH W. BROTHERS RY M. HOFFMAN		
20	20 EDV	VARD A. MEILMAN astein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP		
21	21	FREY B. DEMAIN		
22	22 JON	ATHAN WEISSGLASS huler, Berzon, Nussbaum, Rubin & Demain		
23		maior, Borzon, reassociam, reasm & Bornam		
24		/s/		
25	25	/s/ Kenneth W. Brothers		
26	26 Atto	rneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd.		
27	27			
28	28			

1 2 3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
<i>4 5</i>	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,) CASE NO. C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC))
6 7	vs. (in proposed) Order regarding (in definition of public proposed) Defendants (in proposed) Order regarding (in proposed) O
8 9 10) Date: March 28, 2006) Time: 9:30 a.m.) Courtroom: 11
) Courtroom: 11

Upon consideration of Ricoh's Motion For Sanctions For Defendants' Violation Of Judge
Jenkins' CMC Order Regarding Identification Of Products At Issue, and supporting evidence,
Defendants' Opposition, and supporting evidence, and any reply and additional argument, and having
conducted a hearing on the motions, and the Court being fully advised of the premises, it is HEREBY
ORDERED:

Each Defendant shall submit a full and final declaration, signed by a senior corporate officer, identifying all ASICs and libraries designed using any of the Synopsys products identified during the July 14, 2005, Case Management Conference. Such corporate officer should certify that he or she has read this order of the Court. If this declaration is found to be inaccurate in any way, that such Defendant shall be held in contempt and be subject to the potential entry of default judgment on liability.

With respect to ASICs that are newly disclosed on this new declaration, Defendants are hereby precluded from introducing any evidence regarding infringement for these ASICs. The jury shall be instructed that, to the extent that Ricoh proves infringement of any of the original ASICs listed in the respective Defendants' initial declarations, the later-identified ASICs shall likewise be deemed to be infringing.

27

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

1	Defendants shall produce within five (5) business date of the date of this Order complete
2	financial records of the sale and profits for these later-identified ASICs.
3	
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: HON. MARTIN J. JENKINS
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 TEIN	